

Every projective Schur algebra is Brauer equivalent to a radical abelian algebra

Eli Aljadeff* and Ángel del Río†

February 2, 2008

Abstract

We prove that any projective Schur algebra over a field K is equivalent in $Br(K)$ to a radical abelian algebra. This was conjectured in 1995 by Sonn and the first author of this paper. As a consequence we obtain a characterization of the projective Schur group by means of Galois cohomology. The conjecture was known for algebras over fields of positive characteristic. In characteristic zero the conjecture was known for algebras over fields with an Henselian valuation over a local or global field of characteristic zero.

1 Introduction

One of the main theorems on the Schur subgroup of the Brauer group is the Brauer-Witt Theorem.

Theorem (Brauer-Witt). Every Schur algebra is Brauer equivalent to a cyclotomic algebra.

Recall that a (finite dimensional) central simple K -algebra A is called a Schur algebra if it is spanned over K by a finite group of unit elements. Equivalently, A is a Schur algebra if it is the homomorphic image of a group algebra KG for some finite group G . The subgroup of $Br(K)$ generated by (in fact consisting of) classes that are represented by Schur algebras is called the Schur group of K and is denoted by $S(K)$ [14]. There is a natural way to construct Schur algebras, namely the cyclotomic algebras. A cyclotomic algebra over K is a crossed product $A = (L/K, \alpha)$ where $L = K(\zeta)/K$ is a cyclotomic field extension and $\alpha \in H^2(\text{Gal}(L/K), L^\times)$ is represented by a 2-cocycle t whose values are roots of unity. Clearly, if $A = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)} Lu_\sigma$ where $u_\sigma u_\tau = t(\sigma, \tau)u_{\sigma\tau}$ then the group of units generated by ζ and the u_σ 's is finite and spans A as a vector space over K . Hence A is a Schur algebra. The Brauer-Witt Theorem states that this natural construction amounts for all the Schur algebras up to Brauer equivalence.

Using the Brauer-Witt theorem, one can characterize the Schur group by means of Galois cohomology. Let K_s be a separable closure of K and let K_{cyc} be the maximal cyclotomic extension of K (contained in K_s). Denote by $G_s = \text{Gal}(K_s/K)$ and $G_{cyc} = \text{Gal}(K_{cyc}/K)$ the corresponding Galois groups.

Corollary. Let μ be the group of roots of unity in K_{cyc} viewed as a G_{cyc} module. Consider the following commutative diagram where $i_* : H^2(G_{cyc}, \mu) \rightarrow H^2(G_{cyc}, K_{cyc}^\times)$ is the map induced by

*This research was carried while the first author was visiting the University of Murcia during the spring semester of 2005/6. He thanks the Department of Mathematics for the hospitality and Fundación Séneca of Murcia for their financial support.

†The second author has been partially supported by D.G.I. of Spain and Fundación Séneca of Murcia.

the inclusion $i : \mu \hookrightarrow K_{cyc}^\times$ and ϕ is the map induced by the cyclotomic algebra construction.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^2(G_{cyc}, \mu) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \text{Im } i_* & \hookrightarrow & H^2(G_{cyc}, K_{cyc}^\times) & \rightarrow & H^2(G_K, K_s^\times) \\ & \searrow \phi & \bar{\phi} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\ & & S(K) & \hookrightarrow & Br(K_{cyc}/K) & \hookrightarrow & Br(K) \end{array}$$

Then $\bar{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

In 1978 projective analogues of these constructions were introduced by Lorenz and Opolka [9]. Recall that a central simple K -algebra A is called projective Schur if it is spanned by a group of units which is finite modulo its centre. Equivalently, A is projective Schur if it is the homomorphic image of a twisted group algebra $K^t G$ for some finite group G and 2-cocycle t . As in the Schur case, the classes of $Br(K)$ represented by projective Schur algebras form a group. This is the projective Schur group of K and is denoted by $PS(K)$. One of the main points for introducing these notions is that every symbol algebra is projective Schur in an obvious way. This observation already shows that the projective Schur group is *large* if roots of unity are present [10]. Indeed the Merkurjev-Suslin theorem implies that if K contains all roots of unity then $PS(K) = Br(K)$. Interestingly, as observed by Lorenz and Opolka, also for fields which have only few roots of unity, the projective Schur group may be *large*. They showed that if K is a local or global field then $PS(K) = Br(K)$ [9]. Based on these examples it was conjectured that $PS(K) = Br(K)$ for arbitrary fields but this turned out to be false as shown in [2] (e.g. $K = k(x)$, k any number field).

The main objective was then to find a description of $PS(K)$ in terms of Galois cohomology. As in the Schur case, also here there is a natural way to construct projective Schur algebras. These are the radical or radical abelian algebras. Before we recall their definition let us introduce the following notation: Given any field extension L/K we denote by $Rad_K(L)$ the group of all units in L which are of finite order modulo $K^\times$. A field extension L/K is said to be radical if $L = K(Rad_K(L))$ ¹. Now, a central simple K -algebra is radical if it is a crossed product $A = (L/K, \alpha)$ where L/K is a Galois radical extension and $\alpha \in Rad_K(L)$ (by this we mean that the cohomology class α may be represented by a 2-cocycle t with $t(g, h) \in Rad_K(L)$ for every $g, h \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$). If the extension L/K is abelian, we say that A is radical abelian. Clearly, if $\{u_g : g \in \text{Gal}(L/K)\}$ is an L -basis with $u_g u_h = t(g, h) u_{gh}$ (t as above) then the multiplicative group $\langle Rad_K(L), u_g : g \in \text{Gal}(L/K) \rangle$ spans A as a vector space over K and is finite modulo its centre. Hence, every radical algebra is projective Schur.

The "Brauer-Witt Conjecture" for projective Schur algebras says that every projective Schur algebra is Brauer equivalent to a radical (abelian) algebra (see [1]). In [3] the conjecture was proved for fields of positive characteristic. In characteristic zero the conjecture was established for Henselian valued fields whose residue field is a local or global field of characteristics zero (e.g. iterated Laurent series over a number field) (see [4]).

As the title suggests, the goal of this paper is to prove the Brauer-Witt conjecture for projective Schur algebras over arbitrary fields of characteristic 0.

Theorem 1 *Every projective Schur algebra is Brauer equivalent to a radical abelian algebra.*

As a consequence we obtain a cohomological interpretation of $PS(K)$. Let $K_{rad,ab}$ be the maximal radical abelian extension of K (contained in K_s) and let $G_{rad,ab} = \text{Gal}(K_{rad,ab}/K)$ be the Galois group.

¹Note that this definition is more restrictive than the usual definition of radical extension in Galois Theory

Corollary 2 *Consider the following commutative diagram where $i_* : H^2(G_{rad,ab}, Rad_K(K_{rad,ab})) \rightarrow H^2(G_{rad,ab}, K_{rad,ab}^\times)$ is the map induced by the inclusion $i : Rad_K(K_{rad,ab}) \hookrightarrow K_{rad,ab}^\times$ and ϕ is the map induced by the radical algebra construction.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
H^2(G_{rad,ab}, Rad_K(K_{rad,ab})) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \text{Im } i_* & \hookrightarrow & H^2(G_{rad,ab}, K_{rad,ab}^\times) & \rightarrow & H^2(G_K, K_{rad,ab}^\times) \\
& \searrow \phi & \bar{\phi} \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr & & \downarrow \wr \\
& & PS(K) & \hookrightarrow & Br(K_{rad,ab}/K) & \hookrightarrow & Br(K)
\end{array}$$

Then $\bar{\phi}$ is an isomorphism.

The proof of Theorem 1 uses the idea of the proof of the Brauer-Witt Theorem as it appears in [14]. The main difficulty here comes from a fundamental difference between cyclotomic and radical algebras. While being a root of unity is a notion which is independent of the field considered, being radical depends on the ground field. This obstruction appears in the use of the corestriction map. To overcome this difficulty it is convenient to generalize the notions of projective Schur and radical algebra.

Let K/k be a field extension and A a central simple K -algebra. We say that A is projective Schur over k if it is an epimorphic image of a twisted group algebra $k^t G$ of a finite group G . Equivalently A is projective Schur over k if it is generated over k by a group of units Γ which is finite modulo $k^\times$ (that is $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap k^\times] < \infty$). We denote such an algebra by $k(\Gamma)$. We say that A is radical over k if A is a crossed product $(L/K, \alpha)$ where L/k is a (non necessarily Galois) radical extension and $\alpha \in Rad_k(L)$.

Clearly, an algebra A which is radical over k is projective Schur over k in a natural way. In the theorem below we show that the generating group Γ that appears in this way is supersolvable. In fact the class of supersolvable groups is the “precise” class of groups needed to represent radical algebras.

Theorem 3 *Let K/k be a field extension and A a central simple K -algebra.*

- (a) *If A is radical over k then A is generated by a supersolvable group of units which is finite modulo k .*
- (b) *If A is generated by a supersolvable group of units which is finite modulo $k^\times$, then A is isomorphic to a full matrix algebra of an algebra which is radical abelian over k .*

Theorem 3 is proved in Section 2. Note that Theorem 3(b) implies Theorem 1 for projective Schur algebras $k(\Gamma)$ with Γ supersolvable. The main task is then to show that every projective Schur algebra is equivalent to a projective Schur algebra which is generated by a supersolvable group. For fields of characteristic zero this is contained in the next theorem. It implies Theorem 1 for fields of characteristic zero. (For fields of positive characteristic, Theorem 1 was proved in [3].)

Theorem 4 *Let K/k be a extension of fields of characteristic 0 and A a central simple K -algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1. *A is equivalent (in $Br(K)$) to a central simple K -algebra which is projective Schur over k .*
2. *A is equivalent to a central simple K -algebra generated by a supersolvable group of units which is finite modulo $k^\times$ (i.e. equivalent to an epimorphic image of a twisted group algebra $k^t G$ for G a finite supersolvable group).*

3. A is equivalent to a central simple K -algebra which is radical over $k^\times$.

4. A is equivalent to a central simple K -algebra which is radical abelian over k .

Implications $(1 \Leftarrow 2 \Leftrightarrow 3 \Leftrightarrow 4)$ are either obvious or follow from Theorem 3 (note that Brauer equivalence is basically not needed for these implications). To complete the proof of Theorem 4 one needs to show $(1 \Rightarrow 3)$. An important part of this proof is in Proposition 3.2 which uses character theory of projective representation.

2 Supersolvable groups

In this section we prove Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3(a). Let $A = (L/K, \alpha = [t]) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} Lu_\sigma$ be radical over k with $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$. Let $L = k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ with each α_i finite modulo $k^\times$. One may assume that $t(\sigma, \tau) \in \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$ for each $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

Let E_0 be a maximal cyclotomic extension of K contained in L . For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, let n_i be the order of α_i modulo $E_0^\times$ and m_i the degree of the minimal polynomial p_i of α_i over E_0 . Every conjugate of α_i over E_0 is of the form $\alpha_i \zeta$ for some root of unity ζ in L and thus the coefficient of degree 0 of p_i is $\alpha_i^{m_i} \gamma$ for some root of unity $\gamma \in L$. By the maximality of E_0 , γ and each ζ belong to E_0 . Thus $\alpha_i^{m_i} \in E_0$ and therefore $m_i \geq n_i$. This implies that $p_i = X^{n_i} - \alpha_i^{n_i}$ and so E_0 contains a primitive n_i -th root of unity. This shows that L/E_0 is a Kummer extension and that E_0 contains a primitive n -th root of unity ξ , where n is the least common multiple of the n_i 's.

Let Γ be the subgroup of the group of units of A generated by ξ , the α_i 's and the u_σ 's. Clearly A is generated by Γ over k and $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap k^\times] < \infty$. We show that Γ is supersolvable. Clearly $C_1 = \langle \xi \rangle$ is a cyclic normal subgroup of Γ . Let $C_2 = \langle \xi, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle$. By the construction of ξ , it follows that C_2/C_1 is central in Γ/C_1 . Since $t(\sigma, \tau) \in \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \rangle \subseteq C_2$ for each $\sigma, \tau \in G$, one has $\Gamma/C_2 \simeq G$ and so it is enough to show that G is supersolvable. Since L/E_0 is a Kummer extension, $E_0(\alpha_i)/E_0$ is cyclic for every i . Moreover by the definition of E_0 , $E_i = E_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i)/K$ is Galois and hence $H_i = \text{Gal}(L/E_i)$ is normal in G . Consider the composition series

$$G \supseteq H_0 \supseteq H_1 \supseteq H_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq H_{n-1} \supseteq H_n = 1.$$

Since G/H_0 is abelian we only need to show that H_i/H_{i+1} is cyclic for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. But $H_i/H_{i+1} \simeq \text{Gal}(E_{i+1}/E_i) = \text{Gal}(E_i(\alpha_{i+1})/E_i)$ is an epimorphic image of $\text{Gal}(E_0(\alpha_{i+1})/E_0)$ which is cyclic. ■

To prove Theorem 3(b) we need the following lemma (see also [1]).

Lemma 2.1 *Let $A = k(\Gamma)$ be a central simple K -algebra which is projective Schur over k and let Δ be a normal subgroup of Γ . Then $B = k(\Delta)$ is semisimple. Moreover, if B has n simple components then A is isomorphic to $M_n(C)$, for some algebra C which is projective Schur over k . In fact C is spanned over k by an epimorphic image of Γ .*

Proof. Let $G = \Gamma k^\times / k^\times$ and let $\alpha \in H^2(G, k^\times)$ be the cohomology class associated to the central extension $1 \rightarrow k^\times \rightarrow \Gamma k^\times \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. Then $A \simeq k^\alpha G / I$ for some maximal ideal I of $k^\alpha G$. Furthermore, putting $N = \Delta k^\times / k^\times$ and β the restriction of α to N , we have $B \simeq (k^\beta N \cdot I) / I \simeq k^\beta N / (k^\beta N \cap I)$. Thus $J(k^\beta N) \subseteq J(k^\alpha G) \subseteq I$ (see [11, Theorem 4.2] or [13, Corollary 2.5.30]) and hence B is semisimple.

Let e be a primitive central idempotent of B and $\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\}$ a right transversal of the centralizer of e in Γ . Set $e_i = \sigma_i^{-1}g\sigma_i$ for each i . Since Δ is normal in Γ , the elements $e_1, \dots, e_m$ are different primitive central idempotents of B and hence $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i$ is a non-zero central idempotent of A . Thus $\sum_{i=1}^m e_i = 1$ since A is simple. It follows that B has m simple components and so $m = n$. Now, for every $g \in \Gamma$, the map $Ae \rightarrow Ag^{-1}eg = Aeg$ given by $x \mapsto xg$ is an isomorphism of left A -modules. Consequently, $A \simeq (Ae)^n$ as left A -modules and hence $A \simeq \text{End}_A(A) = M_n(\text{End}(Ae)) = M_n(eAe)$. Finally, $eAe = k(e\Gamma e)$ is projective Schur over k and the result follows. ■

Proof of Theorem 3(b). Let $A = k(\Gamma)$ be projective Schur over k with Γ supersolvable. By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that $k(\Delta)$ is simple for every normal subgroup Δ of Γ . In particular, $k(\Delta)$ is a field if Δ is abelian.

We claim that Γ' , the commutator subgroup of Γ , is cyclic. Since Γ is center by finite, by a Theorem of Schur, Γ' is finite (see e.g. [5] Chapter IV, section 3, exercise 4(b)), and so there is a subgroup C of Γ which is maximal among the cyclic normal subgroups of Γ contained in Γ' . Let us show that $\Gamma' = C$. If not, since Γ is supersolvable, there is $a \in \Gamma' \setminus C$ such that $D = \langle C, a \rangle$ is normal in Γ . Furthermore, since $\text{Aut}(C)$ is abelian, Γ' centralizes C and hence D is abelian. It follows that $k(D)$ is a field and therefore D must be cyclic. This contradicts the maximality of C and the claim is proved.

Let Δ be a maximal abelian subgroup of Γ which contains $\Gamma'(k^\times \cap \Gamma)$ (it exists since $[\Gamma : (k^\times \cap \Gamma)] < \infty$). Clearly Δ is normal in Γ and $G = \Gamma/\Delta$ is finite abelian. Moreover, by the maximality of Δ , G acts faithfully on the field $L = k(\Delta)$. We obtain that $A \simeq (L/K, \alpha)$ where K is the field of G -invariant elements of L and α is the cohomology class associated to the group extension $1 \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. It is clear that L/k is a radical field extension and $\alpha \in \text{Rad}_k(L)$. Moreover $G \simeq \text{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian and therefore A is radical abelian over k as desired. ■

3 The general case

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 4 by proving $(1 \Rightarrow 3)$. Note that Theorem 3(b) implies $(1 \Rightarrow 3)$ for projective Schur algebras $k(\Gamma)$ with Γ supersolvable. In Proposition 3.2 below we reduce the general case to the supersolvable case however not over the "right" field. Then, a suitable application of the corestriction map gives us the desired result. It is here, in this very last step of the proof where we need the notion introduced above, namely of a central simple K -algebra which is radical over k .

Recall that a semisimple K -algebra is split if it is isomorphic to a direct product of matrix algebras over K . If F/K is a field extension and A is a semisimple K -algebra then we say that F splits A (or F is a splitting field of A) if $F \otimes_K A$ is a split F -algebra. Next lemma is basically known.

Lemma 3.1 *Given a twisted group algebra $k^t G$ there exists a Galois radical extension L/k which splits $k^t H$ for every subgroup H of G . Consequently, if H is any subgroup of G , then the centre of any simple component of $k^t H$ is contained in L .*

Proof. Let n be the order of the element of $H^2(G, k^\times)$ represented by the 2-cocycle t . Then there is a 1-coboundary $\lambda : G \rightarrow k^\times$ such that $t(g, h)^n = \lambda_g \lambda_h \lambda_{gh}^{-1}$, for every $g, h \in G$. Let F be the normal closure of $k(\lambda_g^{1/n} : g \in G)$ over k . Then t is equivalent in $H^2(G, F^\times)$ to the 2-cocycle $t'(g, h) = t(g, h) \lambda_g^{-1/n} \lambda_h^{-1/n} \lambda_{gh}^{1/n}$. Clearly $t'(g, h)^n = 1$ and thus $t'(g, h)$ is a root of unity, for every $g, h \in G$. Let Z be the subgroup of $F^\times$ generated by the values $\{t'(g, h) : g, h \in G\}$ and let G_1 be

the group extension $t' : 1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$. It is easy to see that F^tG is an epimorphic image of the group algebra FG_1 and hence, by the Brauer splitting theorem there is a cyclotomic field extension L/F which splits k^tH for every subgroup H of G .

If A is a simple epimorphic image of k^tH then $A \otimes_k L$ is an epimorphic image of $k^tH \otimes_k L$ and therefore $A \otimes_k L$ is a direct product of matrix algebras over L . Then $Z(A) \otimes_k L = Z(A \otimes_k L) = L^m$ for $m = [Z(A) : k]$ and since L/k is a Galois extension, $Z(A) \subseteq L$. This proves the lemma. ■

Proposition 3.2 *Let k^tG be a twisted group algebra over a field k of characteristic zero. Let L be a finite radical extension of k which splits k^tH for every finite subgroup H of G . Let A be an epimorphic image of k^tG with centre K . Assume that the index of A is a power of a prime integer p . Then there is a supersolvable subgroup H of G , a K' -central simple algebra B and a subfield E of L such that:*

- a) B is an epimorphic image of k^tH .
- b) E contains K and K' .
- c) $[E : K]$ is coprime with p .
- d) $E \otimes_K A$ is equivalent to the p -th part of $E \otimes_{K'} B$ in $Br(E)$.

The proof of Proposition 3.2 requires the theory of projective characters. For the reader's convenience we recall some of the tools used in the proof. These tools can be founded in [6], [7] and [8].

Given a twisted group algebra k^tG we fix a k -basis $\{u_g : g \in G\}$ where $u_g u_h = t(g, h) u_{gh}$ for every $g, h \in G$. We also fix a radical extension L of k which splits k^tH for every subgroup H of G (Lemma 3.1) and consider $\{u_g : g \in G\}$ as an L -basis of L^tG .

If M is a left L^tG -module then the projective t -representation associated to M is the map $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_L(M)$ that associates to $g \in G$ the action of u_g on M . The projective t -representation ρ satisfies the following relation:

$$\rho(g)\rho(h) = t(g, h)\rho(gh). \quad (3.1)$$

Conversely, if M is an L -vector space, then every map $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_L(M)$ satisfying (3.1) gives an L^tG -module structure on M whose projective t -representation is ρ . The composition of ρ with the trace map $\text{tr} : \text{GL}_L(M) \rightarrow L$ is called the projective t -character afforded by M or by ρ . Two left L^tG -modules are isomorphic if and only if they afford the same projective t -character [8, 7.1.11]. A projective t -representation (resp. projective t -character) is said to be irreducible if it is the projective t -representation of (resp. the projective t -character afforded by) an irreducible L^tG -module.

Let F be a subfield of L containing k . Every irreducible projective t -character χ induces an L -linear map $\overline{\chi} : L^tG \rightarrow L$ given by $\overline{\chi}(u_g) = \chi(g)$ and there is a unique simple component A of F^tG such that $\overline{\chi}(A) \neq 0$. Conversely for every simple component A of F^tG there is a (non-necessarily unique) irreducible projective t -character χ of G such that $\overline{\chi}(A) \neq 0$. Furthermore, if $F = Z(A)$ then the character χ such that $\overline{\chi}(A) \neq 0$ is unique and it is given by $\chi(g) = \text{tr}(\pi(u_g))$, where $\pi : F^tG \rightarrow A$ is the projection and $\text{tr} : A \rightarrow F$ is the reduced trace of A . We will refer to this χ as the projective t -character given by the reduced trace of A .

Given F as above and χ a projective t -character, $F(\chi)$ denotes the extension of F generated by $\{\chi(g) : g \in G\}$. One says that χ is representable over F if χ is the projective t -representation associated to $M_L = L \otimes_F M$ for some left F^tG -module M . Equivalently F splits the unique simple component of k^tG on which $\overline{\chi}$ does not vanish. Clearly if a projective t -character is representable over F then it takes values in F but the converse is not true in general.

Assume now that χ is irreducible and let A be the unique simple component of $F^t G$ such that $\overline{\chi}(A) \neq 0$. The Schur index $m_F(\chi)$ of χ over F is by definition $\text{Ind}(A)$, the index of A . Equivalently, it may be defined by $m_F(\chi) = \min\{[E : F(\chi)] : \chi \text{ is representable over } E\}$ (see [8, 8.3.1] or [12, 13.4]). Furthermore the field $F(\chi)$ is F -isomorphic (but not necessarily equal) to $Z(A)$ [8, 8.2.4] and hence there is $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/F)$ such that $F(\sigma \circ \chi) = Z(A)$.

Given two projective t -characters χ_1 and χ_2 afforded by left $L^t G$ -modules M_1 and M_2 one defines the scalar product of χ_1 and χ_2 as

$$(\chi_1, \chi_2) = \dim_L \text{Hom}_{L^t G}(M_1, M_2)$$

Let us recall some properties of this product. Let χ and λ be projective t -characters afforded by modules M and N and assume that χ is irreducible:

1. (χ, λ) is the number of direct summands isomorphic to M in a (any) decomposition of N as direct sum of simple $L^t G$ -modules.

In particular, if λ is irreducible then $(\chi, \lambda) = 1$ if $\chi = \lambda$ and 0 otherwise.

2. The irreducible projective t -characters are linearly independent over L .
3. If λ is representable over F then $m_F(\chi)$ divides (χ, λ) .

For any subgroup H of G we denote by t_H and by χ_H the restrictions of t and χ to H . Note that χ_H is a projective t_H -character. On the other hand, if θ is the projective t_H -character afforded by the left $L^{t_H} H$ -module M , then the projective t -character θ^G induced from θ to G is the character afforded by $L^t G \otimes_{L^t H} M$ as left $L^t G$ -module.

Let $k^{t_1} G_1$ and $k^{t_2} G_2$ be two twisted group algebras. Let L/k be a field extension that splits $k^{t_1} G_1$ and $k^{t_2} G_2$. Let M_i be left $L^{t_i} G_i$ -modules for $i = 1, 2$ and let χ_i be the corresponding characters. Then the map

$$(t_1 \times t_2)((g_1, g_2), (h_1, h_2)) = t_1(g_1, h_1)t_2(g_2, h_2)$$

is a 2-cocycle on $G_1 \times G_2$. Furthermore, since $L^{t_1} G_1 \otimes_L L^{t_2} G_2 \simeq L^{t_1 \times t_2}(G_1 \times G_2)$ we have that $M_1 \otimes_L M_2$ is a left $L^{t_1 \times t_2}(G_1 \times G_2)$ -module and we denote its character by $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$. In the particular case where $G = G_1 = G_2$ we may identify G with $\{(g, g) : g \in G\}$ and view $M_1 \otimes_L M_2$ as a left $L^{(t_1 \times t_2)G} G$ -module. Note that $(t_1 \times t_2)_G$ is just the pointwise multiplication $t_1 t_2$. We denote the character $(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)_G$ by $\chi_1 \cdot \chi_2$.

For the proof of Proposition 3.2 we need the following properties.

4. Let $k^{t_1} G_1$, $k^{t_2} G_2$, χ_1 and χ_2 be as above.
 - (a) $(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2)(g_1, g_2) = \chi_1(g_1)\chi_2(g_2)$ for each $(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$.
 - (b) If λ_i is a projective t_i -character ($i = 1, 2$) then $(\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2, \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2) = (\chi_1, \lambda_1)(\chi_2, \lambda_2)$. In particular, $\chi_1 \otimes \chi_2$ is irreducible if and only if χ_1 and χ_2 are irreducible.
 - (c) Assume that χ_1 and χ_2 are irreducible and for $i = 1, 2$ let A_i be a simple component of $k^{t_i} G_i$ with centre F such that $\overline{\chi_i}(A_i) \neq 0$. Then $\overline{\chi_i} \otimes \overline{\chi_j}(A_1 \otimes_F A_2) \neq 0$.
5. Let H be a subgroup of G , χ a projective t -character and θ a projective t_H -character.
 - (a) $\chi \cdot \theta^G = (\chi_H \cdot \theta)^G$ [8, 5.5.1].
 - (b) (Frobenius Reciprocity) If χ and θ are irreducible then $(\chi_H, \theta) = (\chi, \theta^G)$ [8, 5.6.3].

Proof of Proposition 3.2 We will need the following weak version of the Berman-Witt Theorem [6, Section 2.21A] for ordinary characters: There exist supersolvable subgroups $H_1, \dots, H_n$ of G , characters $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ of the H_i 's, with $\theta_i(H_i) \subseteq K$ and integers $a_1, \dots, a_n$ such that $1 = \sum_i a_i \theta_i^G$.

The group $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ acts on the set of irreducible t_{H_i} -characters by $\sigma \cdot \xi = \sigma \circ \xi$, ($\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$, ξ an irreducible t_{H_i} character). Let R_i be a set of representatives for the corresponding orbits and for each $\xi \in R_i$ let $\text{tr}(\xi)$ be the sum of the elements in the orbit of ξ . Let χ be an irreducible projective t -character of G such that $\chi(G) \subseteq K$ and $\overline{\chi}(A) \neq 0$ (e.g. take χ the projective t -character given by the reduced trace of A). Note that the projective t_{H_i} -character $\chi_{H_i} \cdot \theta_i$ takes values in K and hence $(\chi_{H_i} \cdot \theta_i, \xi) = (\chi_{H_i} \cdot \theta_i, \sigma \cdot \xi)$ for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$. It follows that $\chi_{H_i} \theta_i = \sum_{\xi \in R_i} b_\xi \text{tr}(\xi)$ where the b_ξ 's are non-negative integers. Then we have

$$\chi = \chi \cdot 1 = \sum_i a_i (\chi \cdot \theta_i^G) = \sum_i a_i (\chi_{H_i} \cdot \theta_i)^G = \sum_i \sum_{\xi \in R_i} a_i b_\xi \text{tr}(\xi)^G$$

and thus

$$1 = (\chi, \chi) = \sum_i \sum_{\xi \in R_i} a_i b_\xi (\chi, \text{tr}(\xi)^G) = \sum_i \sum_{\xi \in R_i} a_i b_\xi [K(\xi) : K] (\chi, \xi^G).$$

It follows that there is an i and a $\xi \in R_i$ such that $s = (\chi_{H_i}, \xi) = (\chi, \xi^G)$ and $[E = K(\xi) : K]$ are both coprime with p , the unique (possible) prime divisor of the Schur index of χ over K ($= \text{Ind}(A)$). Put $H = H_i$ and let B be the unique simple component of $k^{t_H} H$ such that $\xi(B) \neq 0$. If necessary, by replacing ξ with another element in its orbit we may assume that $k(\xi) = Z(B)$ and therefore $Z(B)$ is contained in E . We are to show that the algebra B is equivalent to A over the field E . To this end consider the k -linear map $\phi : k^{t^{-1}} G \rightarrow k^t G$ given by $u_g \mapsto u_g^{-1}$. Note that ϕ is an anti-isomorphism of k -algebras and so if $\pi : k^t G \rightarrow A$ is an epimorphism of k -algebras, A° is the opposite algebra of A and 1° is the identity map on the underlying sets, then the composition $1^\circ \circ \pi \circ \phi : k^{t^{-1}} G \rightarrow A^\circ$ is an epimorphism of k -algebras. Let χ^{-1} be the projective t^{-1} -character given by the reduce trace of A° . Then $\chi \otimes \chi^{-1}$ does not vanish on $A \otimes_K A^\circ$ which is split and hence $\chi \otimes \chi^{-1}$ is representable over K . This implies that $\chi_H \otimes \chi^{-1}$ is representable over K and so the Schur index of $\xi \otimes \chi^{-1}$ over E divides $(\chi_H \otimes \chi^{-1}, \xi \otimes \chi^{-1}) = (\chi_H, \xi)(\chi^{-1}, \chi^{-1}) = (\chi_H, \xi) = s$. Moreover $C = B_E \otimes_E A_E^\circ$ is the unique simple component of $E^{t \times t^{-1}}(H \times G)$ such that $(\xi \otimes \chi^{-1})(C) \neq 0$. Since s is coprime with p , the p -th part of $[C]$ is trivial. Finally, since $[B_E \otimes_E A_E^\circ] = 1 = [A_E \otimes_E A_E^\circ]$, A_E is equivalent to the p -th part of B_E and we are done. ■

To complete the proof of $(1 \Rightarrow 3)$ (and henceforth of Theorem 4) we need an additional lemma.

Lemma 3.3 *Let A_1 and A_2 be central simple K -algebras and k a subfield of K .*

- (a) *If A_1 and A_2 are projective Schur over k then so is $A_1 \otimes_K A_2$.*
- (b) *If A_1 and A_2 are radical (resp. radical abelian) over k then $A_1 \otimes_K A_2$ is equivalent in $\text{Br}(K)$ to an algebra which is radical (resp. radical abelian) over k .*
- (c) *If $A = (F/K, \alpha = [t])$ is radical over k and F_1/K is a Galois extension where F_1 is radical over k and contains F , then the inflation of A to F_1 is a radical over k .*
- (d) *If $A = A_1$ is radical over k and E is a extension of K contained in a radical extension of k then A_E is equivalent in $\text{Br}(E)$ to an algebra which is radical over k .*

Proof. (a) is obvious.

(b) Let $A_i = (L_i/K, \alpha_i)$ be radical (resp. radical abelian) over k for $i = 1, 2$. Then $L = L_1 L_2$ is a radical extension of k (resp. radical extension of k and L/K is abelian). It follows that the inflated algebra $B_i = (L/K, \bar{\alpha}_i)$ is radical (resp. radical abelian) over k . Clearly A_i is equivalent to B_i , $B_1 \otimes_K B_2$ is equivalent to $A = (L/K, \bar{\alpha}_1 \bar{\alpha}_2)$ and the latter is radical (resp. radical abelian) over k .

(c) The inflation of A to F_1 is $\hat{A} = (F_1/K, [\hat{t}])$ where $\hat{t}(g_1, g_2) = t(\overline{g_1}, \overline{g_2})$ and $g \mapsto \overline{g}$ is the restriction homomorphism $\text{Gal}(F_1/K) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F/K)$ and so $\hat{A}$ is radical over k .

(d) Assume that $A = (F/K, \alpha)$ is radical over k and let F_1 be a radical extension of k containing E and F . Then A_E is equivalent to B_E where B is the inflation of A to F_1 . By 3, B is radical over k , and therefore its restriction B_E is radical over k . ■

Proof of (1 $\Rightarrow$ 3) of Theorem 4. Let A be a central simple K -algebra which is projective Schur over k and let $k^t G \rightarrow A$ be an epimorphism of k -algebras. We show that A is radical over k .

Claim: We may assume that the index of A is a prime power.

Indeed, if $p_1, \dots, p_n$ are the prime divisors of the index of A (equivalently, of the order of the class of A in $Br(K)$), then A is equivalent in $Br(K)$ to an algebra of the form $A_1 \otimes_K \dots \otimes_K A_n$ where A_i has index (and order) a power of p_i for each i . Then for each i there is a positive integer m such that A_i is equivalent to $A^{\otimes m}$ and hence by Lemma 3.3(a) A_i is projective Schur over k . If (1 $\Rightarrow$ 3) holds for algebras of prime power index then A_i is equivalent to an algebra B_i which is radical abelian over k . Applying Lemma 3.3(b) one obtains that $B_1 \otimes \dots \otimes B_n$ equivalent to an algebra which is radical abelian over k and the claim follows.

So let $\text{Ind}(A)$ be a power of a prime p . By Proposition 3.2 there exist a supersolvable subgroup H of G , a simple epimorphic image B of $k^t H$ and a field E containing $K = Z(A)$ and $Z(B)$, such that $[E : K]$ is not a multiple of p and A_E is equivalent to the p -th part of B_E . Applying Theorem 3(b), B is radical abelian over k and hence by Lemma 3.3(d) B_E is radical (non-necessarily abelian) over k . Clearly, A_E is equivalent (in $Br(E)$) to $B_E^{\otimes m}$ for some integer m and hence, by Lemma 3.3(b), is equivalent to an algebra $C = (F/E, \alpha)$ which is radical over k . Inflating C to the normal closure of F over K we may assume that F/K is Galois (and radical over k).

Let $Q = \text{Gal}(F/K)$, $T = \text{Gal}(F/E)$ and let $\text{cor} : H^2(T, F^\times) \rightarrow H^2(Q, F^\times)$ be the corestriction map. Clearly, the Galois group Q acts on $\text{Rad}_k(F)$. Since C is radical over k , $\alpha \in \text{Rad}_k(F)$ (i.e. α belongs to the image of $H^2(T, \text{Rad}_k(F)) \rightarrow H^2(T, F^\times)$) and hence $\text{cor}(\alpha) \in \text{Rad}_k(F)$ by the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^2(T, \text{Rad}_k(F)) & \rightarrow & H^2(T, F^\times) \\ \text{cor} \downarrow & & \text{cor} \downarrow \\ H^2(Q, \text{Rad}_k(F)) & \rightarrow & H^2(Q, F^\times) \end{array}$$

It follows that $\text{cor}(C) = (F/K, \text{cor}(\alpha))$ is a central simple K -algebra radical over k . Now, since C is equivalent to A_E , we have that $\text{cor}(C)$ is equivalent to $A^{\otimes n}$ where $n = [Q : T] = [E : K]$. Furthermore, since n is coprime with p , A is equivalent to $\text{cor}(C)^{\otimes m}$ for some integer m . By Lemma 3.3(b), $\text{cor}(C)^{\otimes m}$ is equivalent to a radical algebra over k . This proves (1 $\Rightarrow$ 3) and completes the proof of Theorem 4. ■

References

- [1] E. Aljadeff and J. Sonn, Projective Schur algebras have abelian splitting fields, *J. Algebra* 175 (1995) 179–187.
- [2] E. Aljadeff and J. Sonn, Projective Schur algebras of nilpotent type are Brauer equivalent to radical algebras, *J. Algebra* 220 (1999) 401–414.
- [3] E. Aljadeff and J. Sonn, Exponent reduction for projective Schur algebras, *J. Algebra* 239 (2001) 356–364.
- [4] E. Aljadeff, J. Sonn and A.R. Wadsworth, Projective Schur groups of Henselian fields, *J. Pure and Appl. Algebra*, to appear.
- [5] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [6] C.W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Methods of representation theory, With applications to finite groups and orders*. Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1981.
- [7] I.M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*, Academic Press, New York 1976.
- [8] G. Karpilowsky, *Projective representations of finite groups*, Marcel Dekker, New York 1985.
- [9] F. Lorenz and H. Opolka, Einfache Algebren und projective Darstellungen über Zahlkörpern, *Math. Z.* 162 (1978) 175–182.
- [10] P. Nelis and F. Van Oystaeyen, The projective Schur subgroup of the Brauer group and root groups of finite groups. *J. Algebra* 137 (1991) no. 2, 501–518.
- [11] D.S. Passman, *Infinite crossed products*. Academic Press, Boston, 1989.
- [12] R.S. Pierce, *Associative Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [13] L.H. Rowen, *Ring theory*. Vol. I. Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [14] T. Yamada, The Schur subgroup of the Brauer group. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 397. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.

Department of Mathematics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel,
aljadeff@techunix.technion.ac.il

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain, adelrio@um.es